



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
- 09/505,783		02/17/2000	Tadao Inoue	122.1393	6995	
21171	7590	06/14/2004		EXAMINER		
STAAS &	HALSEY	/ LLP	SEDIGHIAN, REZA			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2633	. 1	
				DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	B	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/505,783	INOUE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M. R. Sedighian	2633	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by second and the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however n. a reply within the statutory minimu eriod will apply and will expire SIX statute, cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed im of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	-
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (08 April 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formation der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	al matters, prosecution as to the merits is 35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,20 and 22-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) object the drawing(s) be held in prection is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received nents have been received priority documents have been received priority documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a) at list of the certified copied nestic priority under 35 Less first sentence of the space provisional application nestic priority under 35 Less first sentence of the space provisional application nestic priority under 35 Less first sentence of the space provisional application nestic priority under 35 Less first sentence of the space provisional application nestic priority under 35 Less first sentence of the space provisional application nestic priority under 35 Less first sentence of the space priority under 35 Less first sentence priority under 35 Less f	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National Stage). es not received. J.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) pecification or in an Application Data Sheet. has been received. J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)			
1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ser:	

Application/Control Number: 09/505,783

Art Unit: 2633

- 1. This communication is responsive to applicant's response of 4/8/04 in the application of Tadao Inoue et al. for "Light output control circuit" filed 2/17/2000. Claims 1, 20, and 22-35 are now pending.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 20, and 22-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senma et al. (US Patent No: 4,856,008).

Regarding claims 1, 22, 26, 30-32, and 34-35, Senma discloses a light output control circuit (col. 3, lines 35-40 and fig. 3), comprising: a photodetector (12, fig. 3) which detects the light output of a light emitting device (10, fig. 3) to thereby provide a light output detection value (col. 4, lines 2-12); a comparator (14, fig. 3) which compares the light output detection value (V_M, fig. 3) with a reference value (V_{rcf}, fig. 3) to thereby provide a comparison result (col. 4, lines 14-16); a light output control device (col. 3, lines 42-44 and 15, 16, 17, 19, fig. 3) which performs discrete control actions to control the light output of the light emitting device (note that the output of counter 15 drives the LD circuit 11) in accordance with the comparison result (col. 4, lines 14-29); and a switching circuit (15, fig. 3) which counts the number of control actions (col. 4, lines 37-47) performed by the light output control device and which instructs the light output control device (col. 4, lines 42-45). Senma differs from the claimed invention in that

Application/Control Number: 09/505,783

Art Unit: 2633

predetermined value, and a steady-state mode thereafter. Senma teaches a counter 15 which its count value increases gradually, wherein intensity of light emitted from laser increases (col. 4, lines 47-50) until it reaches a predetermined value (col. 4, lines 53-55). Senma further teaches counter 15 returns to a disable state (col. 4, lines 60-61), wherein the magnitude of drive current supplied to the laser maintained at its current value (col. 4, lines 60-66). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the light output control circuits such as the ones of Senma can provide a power-up mode and a steady-state mode, in order to automatically control the light output power and to provide a stabilized output light. As to claims 22 and 26, Senma teaches the counter increases or decreases a count value (col. 4, lines 37-41). As to claim 34 and 35, regarding the count operation to increase or decrease the count value by a first and a second amount, Senma teaches a count value can be determined by an up/down counter (col. 4, lines 22-23, 27-29), wherein the count value can be increased or decreased (col. 4, lines 45-50). It would have been obvious that by counting up or counting down, a first and a second amount can be reached, wherein the second amount can be smaller than the first amount such that a stable operation can be reached.

Regarding claims 20, 23, 27, and 33, as to a coarse and fine light output control, Senma teaches raising the light output power of the laser 10 until the monitoring voltage V_M and the reference voltage V_{ref} nearly coincide (col. 4, lines 14-23, 56-68, col. 5, lines 1-5). Accordingly, when raising the light output power occurs, a coarse mode of operation, and when stability has been reached, a fine mode of operation results.

Regarding claims 24-25 and 28-29, Senma teaches the light emitting device (10, fig. 3) is a laser diode (col. 4, line 10).

Art Unit: 2633

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 22, 26, 30, 31, 32, 34, and 35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (703) 308-9063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (703) 305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

M.R. SEDIGHIAN

Art Unit: 2633